

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/520,835	ICHIMARU, HIRONOBU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Mackey	1722	

All Participants:

(1) James Mackey.

(2) Terryence Chapman.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2006

Time: 11:00AM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization was given to amend claim 3 at line 34 by changing "plural valves" to -panel valves- in order to more clearly recite the invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)